



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/510,550	06/09/2005	Patrick T. Rigney	ITW-13619	7338
45482	7590	07/02/2009	EXAMINER	
PAULEY PETERSON & ERICKSON 2800 W. HIGGINS ROAD SUITE 365 HOFFMAN ESTATES, IL 60169				FERGUSON, LAWRENCE D
1794		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/02/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b><i>Interview Summary</i></b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/510,550	RIGNEY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Lawrence D. Ferguson	1794	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Lawrence D. Ferguson. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Maxwell Peterson. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 30 June 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: All.

Identification of prior art discussed: All.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant argued the declaration distinguishes the claimed invention from the prior art by showing the specific range of polyester to polyolefin in the claimed invention achieves an unexpected result of the strapping having increased resistance to splitting in the longitudinal direction, which is not achieved in the prior art. Examiner will further consider Applicant's arguments when responding to the request for continued examination filed on May 20, 2009.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/L. D. F./ Examiner, Art Unit 1794	/David R. Sample/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1794
---------------------------------------	---